No. ID/FD/25-83/13829.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Sarup and the management of M/s. Eicher Goodearth Ltd., N. I. T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to of connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Sarup was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/61/83/13836.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Karan Singh and the management of M/s ACO Industries—Plot No. 210, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shrl Karan Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD//39/83/13843.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rama Shankar and the management of M/s Haryana Paper Mills, 51, Industrial Area, Farldabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rama Shankar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/44/83/13850.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajinder Sah and the management of M/s Haryana Paper Mills, 51, Industrial Area, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rajinder Sah was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD'67/83/13857.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Roop Kishore and the management of M/s Dabriwala Steel & Engineering Co. Ltd., Plot No. 136/25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,

Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Sari Roop Kishore was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/31/83/13787.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri A. K. Kushwa and the management of M/s. Saz. International Pvt. Ltd., D.L.F. Area Plot No. 46-49, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication; Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the ndustrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with iotification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said nanagement and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri A. K. Kushwa was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/11/83/13788.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Ram Saroop and the management of M/s The Kabri Coop. Credit & Service Society Ltd., Kabri, Tehsil Panipat, District, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said mnagement and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Saroop was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?

## The 24th March, 1983

No. ID/FD/19/83/14092.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Krishan Sharma and the management of R. K. Electro Platers, 42, Link Road, Old Fatidatad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Krishan Sharma was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. 1D/FD/17-83/14099.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Istak Lal and the management of M/s. BNBS Cements & Products Pvt. Ltd., Amar Nagar (20/4 K. M., Mathura Road) S. S. Suii Marg, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

hereinafter appearing;
And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;
Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1968 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Istak Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?